
explorance®
Improvement at heart.

1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions

Statistics	Value
Response Count	5624
Mean	4.58
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.69

and their ideas



Statistics	Value
Response Count	5605
Mean	4.58
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.75

Standard Deviation	+/-0.87

Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.91

7. Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience

III Statements About The Students:

My primary reason for taking the course.

The approximate number of classes or labs that I did not attend



Relative to other courses I have taken at UVic, the workload in this course was

The approximate number of hours per week I spent studying for this course outside of class time:



As a result of my experience in this course, my interest in the material:

IV Additional Statments:

The instructor uses teaching aids effectively (blackboard, overheads, visual aids and/or any other technology)



Statistics	Value
Response Count	78
Mean	4.17
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.81

If the course had multiple instructors, how does it compare to courses with a single instructor?

Statistics	Value
Response Count	47
Mean	3.45
Median	3.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.95

If the course had a major project worth 20% or more of the final grade, the project contributed to my overall understanding of the course material

Statistics	Value
Response Count	77
Mean	3.53
Median	4.00

Mean	3.66
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.98

Statistics	Value
Response Count	172
Mean	3.90
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.89



Statistics	Value
Response Count	330
Mean	4.74
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.59

Standard Deviation	+/-0.97



Statistics	Value
Response Count	70
Value	

Overall, how would you rate instructor ?



Statistics	Value
Response Count	160
Mean	4.77
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.54

How would you rate instructor 's ability to use the target language during classroom contact in order to facilitate students' listening and speaking skills?



Statistics	Value
Response Count	160
Mean	4.70
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.60

The intellectual content of the lectures, discussion and exercises was appropriate to the level of the course.

Statistics	Value
Response Count	158
Mean	4.35
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.81

The course developed an understanding and sensitivity for a range of intellectual viewpoints and cultural and social practices.



Statistics	Value
Response Count	158
Mean	4.47
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.77

Overall, how would you rate this course?

Statistics	Value
Response Count	158
Mean	4.33
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.87

Overall, how would you rate instructor ?



Statistics	Value
Response Count	158
Mean	4.47
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.83
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.83
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.07
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.07

How would you rate the general quality of the lectures in stimulating you to undertake

independent, critical thinking?

Statistics	Value
Response Count	157
Mean	4.24
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.95

The intellectual content of the lectures, discussion and exercises was appropriate to the level of the course.



Statistics	Value
Response Count	21
Mean	4.05
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.92

The course developed an understanding and sensitivity for a range of intellectual viewpoints and cultural and social practices.



Statistics	Value
Response Count	21
Mean	4.29
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.78

Overall, how would you rate this course?

	Value
Count	21
	4.05
	4.00
d Deviation	+/-1.20
